Research Article

Published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF LEAF, BARK, FLOWER AND FRUIT PULP OF CASSIA FISTULA

Dinesh Kumar Sharma^{*1}, Suresh Kumar Sharma², Simrat Pal Singh Saini³, Ekta Bhardwaj⁴, Amit Sharma⁵

Received 10 July 2024, revised 02 September 2024

ABSTRACT: The present study investigated total phenolic contents (TPC), antioxidant activity, and antibacterial activities of aqueous extracts of leaf, bark, flower, and fruit pulp of C. fistula. The TPC of the extracts was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method. The 2, 2'-azino-bis 3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6sulfonic acid (ABTS), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and superoxide radical scavenging assays were used to evaluate antioxidant activity. Antibacterial activities were assessed using the well diffusion assay, minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC). The bacteria tested were Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 7443) and Escherichia coli (MTCC 2991). It was found that bark extract contained the highest TPC (22.56 ± 0.91 mg of gallic acid equivalent /g of extract). The bark extract also showed the strongest ABTS scavenging activity (IC50=180.13µg/ml), DPPH scavenging activity (IC50=318.77µg /ml), and Superoxide radical scavenging activity (IC50=478.31µg/ml). Zones of Inhibition were observed in all the aqueous extracts against Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC 7443) and Escherichia coli (MTCC 2991). The antibacterial potential of the extracts was found to be dosedependent. At 100 mg/ml, the highest average Zone of Inhibition of 14.33±0.33 mm and 16.0±0.58 mm was recorded in aqueous bark extract against S. aureus (MTCC 7443), and E. coli (MTCC 2991) respectively. The bark extract of C. fistula was most potent against E. coli (MTCC 2991) and S. aureus (MTCC 7443), with MIC values of 3.125 mg/mL and 6.25 mg/mL, respectively.

Keywords: Total phenolic contents, Antioxidant, Antibacterial, C. fistula.

INTRODUCTION

Since ancient times, people have used plants, plant parts, and isolated phytochemicals to prevent and treat a wide range of illnesses. Worldwide, there are roughly 500,000 different species of plants [1]. It is estimated that 121 active chemicals originated from plants and are used in medicine, accounting for around 25% of prescription medications worldwide. Eleven percent of the 252 medications on the WHO's essential medicine list are solely derived from plants. Approximately 80% of people living in rural India practice traditional medicine or use medicinal plants [2]. Although the Indian subcontinent is known to employ 2000 plant species for therapeutic reasons, 500 of those species are frequently used in the various indigenous medical systems that are prevalent there. [3]. It is well known that synthetic medications are expensive, poisonous, and potentially quite dangerous. Herbal remedies, on the other hand, are more affordable, environmentally friendly, and less hazardous. Additionally, people have been using them for generations. [4]. A wide range of chemicals are abundant in plants. Numerous are

¹Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, ⁴Department of Seed Science and Technology, CSKHPKV, Palampur (H.P), India.

^{2,3}Department of Veterinary Pharmacology & Toxicology, ⁵Department of Animal Nutrition, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India. *Corresponding author. e-mail: dineshcovas79@gmail.com secondary metabolites that contain aromatic compounds, the majority of which are either phenols or their derivatives that have had their oxygen replaced, such as tannins. Numerous of these substances possess antioxidant qualities. [5].

The treatment of infectious diseases is facing significant concerns due to the increasing growth of microbial resistance to traditional antibiotics [6]. The current antibacterial medications are less effective or perhaps useless due to the emergence of resistance [7]. To tackle antibiotic resistance, several strategies have been proposed recently. One approach to achieve this goal has been proposed: combining ineffective medicines with other compounds seems to restore the appropriate antibacterial activity. These compounds might possess antibacterial qualities without being antibiotics, which could open up new therapeutic avenues [8, 9]. As far as this case is concerned, phytochemicals are effective in combating bacterial resistance, while many researchers have used natural products to do so [10, 11].

Common names for C. fistula include Amulthus, Raja Vriksha, Indian Laburnum, and Golden Shower. It originated in India, the Amazon, and Sri Lanka and spread to other nations such as China, Mexico, Mauritius, East Africa, South Africa, and the West Indies. The fruit pulp of C. fistula is used as a mild laxative for acid reflux and other gastrointestinal issues like constipation. Besides, the fruits of C. fistula are also used in fever, heart disease, and leprosy. When treating skin conditions, C. fistula bark is employed. Erysipelas, malaria, rheumatism, and ulcers are all treated using C. fistula leaves. Fever can be treated with C. fistula flowers, and skin conditions, constipation, leprosy, and fever can be treated with the buds. [12]. The leaves of C. fistula are known for their laxative, antiperiodic, ulcer-healing, and anti-rheumatic properties. In traditional medicine, the leaves and pods were widely used as potent laxatives and purgatives. Additionally, it was discovered that leaves were good against ringworm and cough. It is made up of many different kinds of ingredients, including potassium, sugar, rhein, and triterpenes [13]. Few researchers have conducted antioxidant and antibacterial research on one or the other parts of C. fistula. There is very little information on research that compares various plant sections. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the antioxidant activity and antibacterial potential of C. fistula's leaves, bark, flowers, and fruit pulp in vitro.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Analytical grade solvents were utilized. Sigma-Aldrich provided the following materials: gallic acid, Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FCR), 2, 2'-azino-bis-3ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS), 2,2diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), phenazinemethosulfate-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (PMS-NADH), and Nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT). Nutrient broth, absolute alcohol, Mueller Hinton agar (MHA), MacConkey agar (MLA), Mueller Hinton agar (MSA) (HIMEDIA), and Mueller Hinton broth (Merck Specialities Private Limited, Mumbai) were utilized.

Preparation of crude extract

The CSIR-Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology, High Altitude Biology Division, Palampur (H.P.) India (Voucher No. PLP 15392) identified the leaves, flowers, bark, and fruits of C. fistula, which were collected from multiple locations in and around District Ludhiana (Punjab), India, and shade dried at room temperature. A grinding machine was used to powder all of these parts after they had dried. The maceration method produced aqueous extracts. In this process, 100 grams of a whole or coarsely ground crude sample were soaked in one liter of distilled water [14]. The sample was then allowed to rest at room temperature, stirring often, for at least 48-72 hours, or until the soluble material dissolved. Following the maceration process, the extract was first filtered through a muslin cloth and then again through filter paper (Whatman filter paper No. 1, Cat. No. 1001125). At forty degrees Celsius, the filtrate was evaporated in an oven. The residue was collected and stored in airtight bottles at 4°C until needed [15].

Total phenolic content (TPC)

An experiment based on the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FCR) was used to determine the total phenolic content in the leaves, flowers, bark, and fruit pulp extract of *C. fistula*. After adding and mixing 950 μ l of distilled water with 50 μ l of plant extract. Following mixing, 2.5 μ l of the 20% sodium carbonate solution and 500 μ l of FCR with distilled water (1:1) were added. After 40 minutes of room temperature storage, the mixture's absorbance at 725 nm was measured. A gallic acid standard curve was created [16] (Fig. 1). The absorbance was measured in comparison to a control solution that was made using distilled water rather than extract. The total phenolic contents (mg/g) in the extract of plant sections from *C. fistula* were expressed using the gallic acid equivalent (GAE).

Antioxidant activities

ABTS (2, 2'-azino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) Scavenging assay:

The usual protocol for ABTS radical scavenging activity was followed [17].

% ABTS + inhibition = $[1 - (A734nm \text{ test}/A734nm \text{ control})] \times 100$

IC50 values were determined by a graph between the percent of inhibition and concentration.

DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) scavenging activity:

Using a conventional technique, DPPH radical scavenging was carried out [18]. Similar to ABTS, the DPPH radical scavenging activity and IC50 were determined at 517 nm.

Superoxide anion radical scavenging activity

A systematic procedure was used to determine this activity [19]. The IC50 and percentage of radical scavenging activity were computed in a manner consistent with that of ABTS scavenging activity.

Antibacterial activity

Microbial strains and preparation of medium

Aqueous extracts of C. fistula's leaves, bark, flowers, and fruit pulp were tested for their antibacterial efficacy against gram-negative Escherichia coli (MTCC-2991) and gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC-7443) bacteria. These antimicrobial properties were compared to those of ampicillin, a standard drug. The Department of Livestock Products and Technology, GADVASU, Ludhiana, provided the test organisms. Each test organism was grown for eighteen hours at 37°C in nutrient broth to prepare the inocula. After comparing the overnight broth culture to the Mac Farland turbidity standard, an approximate value of 108 cfu/ml was obtained. Approximately 108cfu/ml was obtained by seeding a molten Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) medium with 100 µl of this broth and allowing it to cool to 45°C [20].

Well diffusion assay

For an antibacterial investigation, various doses of the plant extracts (12.5, 25, 50, and 100 mg/ml) were diluted in 100% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Various plant extracts were tested for their antibacterial activities using the agar-well diffusion method. At a depth of roughly 4 mm (15 ml), the sterilized medium (MHA) was added to triplicate pre-sterilized petri plates and left to harden. Using a sterile cork borer, the wells were cut after the medium of each plate was surface infected with a suspension of the corresponding bacteria (10^8 cfu/ml). The wells were filled with a 50 µl solution of several plant extracts at concentrations of 12.5, 25, 50, and 100 mg/ml in the appropriate solvent. The solvents, ampicillin (100 µg/ml) and DMSO (50 µl) were kept as positive and negative controls, respectively. During 24 hours, the plates were incubated at 37° C. After incubation, the zones of inhibition's diameter were measured [21].

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

Using the microdilution method in accordance with CLSI guidelines (2008), the aqueous extracts of C. fistula leaves, bark, flowers, and fruit pulp were tested for pharmacodynamic characteristics such as minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) against Escherichia coli (MTCC-2991) and Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC-7443) in Mueller Hinton broth (MHB). Standardization of the bacterial count is necessary for the computation of MIC and MBC. Two to four medium-sized E. coli (MTCC-2991) colonies cultured on MacConkey Lactose Agar (MLA) (HIMEDIA) were used for this. After 9 ml of MHB was added to the plates, they were incubated for 2.5 hours at 37°C. After incubation, the turbid broth was diluted 1:100 times using fresh MHB. The diluted inoculum was mixed thoroughly, and then 10µl of broth from each dilution of 10^2 , 10^4 , 10^6 and 10⁸ was taken and plated on MLA plates. After incubation at 37°C for 18h, growth was observed, and a bacterial count was done. The inoculum size was 1-5 x 10⁸ cfu/ml before adding it to microwell plates for MIC determination [22]. The standardization of Staphylococcus aureus (MTCC-7443) was done similarly, taking 4-5 colonies grown on mannitol salt agar (MSA), inoculating them in 9 ml of MHB, and then incubating them at 37°C for 2.5 h. After incubation, the turbid broth was diluted and plated similarly as in the case of E. coli (MTCC-2991), using MSA as the selective medium.

Each well was initially filled with 50 µl of broth. Next, 50 µl of plant extract (100 mg/ml) was added to the microwell plate's first column, which was then serially diluted with the maximum dilution up to 1:2048. To each of these wells, 50µl of 1:100 times diluted *E. coli* (MTCC-2991) culture or *S. aureus* (MTCC-7443) culture was added so that the final inoculum of 1-5 x 10^8 cfu/ml was obtained. For eighteen hours, the mixture including broth, medication, and bacterial culture was incubated at 37° C in its final volume of 100 µl [22].

The minimum inhibitory concentration, or MIC, is the lowest drug concentration that, in relation to the control, totally prevents the observable growth of bacteria. After adding 40 µl (0.2 mg/ml) of 3-(4, 5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) and incubation for 30 minutes at 37°C, the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was ascertained. MTT was digested by bacteria, giving it a dark blue tint, which was then utilized as an indication of the proliferation of bacteria. The wells with no color change following the administration of MTT were considered to have no microbial growth and were assigned MIC values. The MIC was carried out in three overlapping sets to improve accuracy. Following the MIC determination, MHB was spiked in a flatbottom 96-well plate with varying extract concentrations. The concentrations spanning the most likely locations of MBC that were greater or equal to the MIC were chosen. Ten milliliters of broth containing bacterial cultures of S. aureus (MTCC-7443) and E. coli (MTCC-2991) with an inoculum size of 1-5 x 108 cfu/ml were added to these wells, stirred, and incubated for eighteen hours at 37°C. Controls for growth and sterility were conducted concurrently. [22]. Following incubation, quantities of E. coli (MTCC-2991) and S. aureus (MTCC-7443) at which neither visible growth nor turbidity was observed were chosen and plated on the MLA and MHA plates, respectively. Prior to counting, the plates were incubated for eighteen hours at 37°C. The lowest concentration, MBC, was shown to have a 99.9% reduction in bacterial counts.

Statistical analysis

A statistical analysis of the gathered data was performed. IBM SPSS version 20.0 statistical software was used to calculate the mean, standard error, oneway ANOVA, and other necessary statistical analyses for various parameters. We computed the IC50 value using linear regression analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total phenolic content ranged from 1.19 to 22.56 mg of GAE/g of extract in different extracts from *C. fistula* leaves, bark, flowers, and fruit pulp (Table 1). The percent recovery of different *C. fistula* extracts ranged between 2.0 and 5.7 (Table 1). The variations in the percent recovery of *C. fistula* extracts might be attributed to the polarities of different

compounds present in them. Among the extracts, the highest yield of 5.7% was recorded for *C. fistula* fruit pulp aqueous extract, and the lowest yield of 2% was recorded for *C. fistula* flower aqueous extract. A high percentage of soluble sugars in pulp extracts may be the cause of their high yield potential [23].

Out of all the C. fistula components, the aqueous extract from the bark had the highest total phenolic content, measuring 22.56 ± 0.91 mg of GAE/g of extract. With 1.19 ± 0.18 mg of GAE per gram of extract, the C. fistula fruit pulp aqueous extract had the lowest total phenolic content. Bark extracts had the highest total phenolic content among C. fistula aqueous extracts, followed by leaf, flower, and fruit pulp. Our findings are consistent with a prior study that found that C. fistula bark extracts had the highest concentration of total phenolics, followed by leaf, flower, and fruit extracts. Compared to stem, leaf, and root extracts, C. fistula bark extracts were shown to have higher total phenolic content, total tannin content, and antioxidant activity in one of the earlier investigations [23, 24]. The results of the present study showed that the aqueous extract of the bark of C. fistula contains a substantial amount of phenolics. The antioxidative activity of plants is directly correlated with their total phenolic content. Phenolic compounds primarily exhibit their antioxidant effects through redox characteristics such as quenching singlet oxygen, donating hydrogen, and scavenging free radicals. Free radicals are produced naturally by aerobic cell metabolism and can be utilized by the body to defend itself against them [25]. A high level of antioxidant activity is indicated by the total phenolic content [26]. Using a Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (FCR)- based analysis, the total phenolic content in the C. fistula extracts was ascertained [16]. The reducing capability, which is expressed as phenolic content, is obtained by the electron transfer-based Folin-Ciocalteu analysis.

The ABTS (2, 2'-casino-bis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) technique was used to assess the total antioxidant activity of the *C. fistula* preparations. At a dosage of 1.0 mg/ml, the bark extract of *C. fistula* was found to have stronger activity than the leaf, flower, and fruit pulp extracts. Aqueous bark extract exhibited $82.03\pm0.39\%$ scavenging activity. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the leaf, flower, and fruit pulp extracts were found to be $69.23\pm0.55\%$, $85.23\pm0.30\%$, and $67.70\pm0.92\%$ activity, respectively. Based on a graph showing the percentage of scavenging action against the extract concentration, the IC50 values of the *C. fistula* extracts were determined. The IC50 values ranged from 180.13 Table 1. Total phenolic content (TPC) (Mean±SE) in leaves, bark, flowers and fruit pulp of *C. fistula* extracts [(n=3) measured as gallic acid equivalent (mg of GAE/ gram of extract) and percent recovery of extracts].

Extract	TPC (mg of GAE/ gm of extract)	% Recovery
Leaf (Aqueous)	4.94 ± 0.40	2.5
Bark (Aqueous)	22.56 ± 0.91	2.2
Flower (Aqueous)	2.42 ± 0.94	2.0
Fruit Pulp (Aqueous)	1.19 ± 0.18	5.7



Fig. 1. Gallic acid standard curve for phenol content determination.



Fig. 3. DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) of leaf, bark, flower, fruit pulp aqueous extracts from *C. fistula* and control (BHT). [Each value is expressed as mean \pm SE (n=3)].

Table 2. IC50 values (μ g/ml) of ABTS, DPPH and superoxide radical scavenging assays of aqueous extracts of *Cassia fistula*.

Sample	ABTS	DPPH	Superoxide
Leaf	235.76µg /ml	388.77µg /ml	928.73 μg /ml
Bark	180.13 µg /ml	318.77µg /ml	478.31 µg /ml
Flower	265.42 µg /ml	1407.12µg /ml	935.28 μg /ml
Fruit Pulp	485.25 µg /ml	2004.23µg /ml	957.39µg /ml
Trolox	195.43 µg /ml	-	-
BHT	-	289.02 µg /ml	-
Ascorbic acid	-	-	90.37µg /ml



Fig. 2. ABTS radical scavenging activity (%) of leaf, bark, flower, fruit pulp aqueous extracts from *C. fistula* and control (Trolox). [Each value is expressed as mean \pm SE (n=3)].



Fig. 4. Superoxide radical scavenging activity (%) of leaf, bark, flower and fruit pulp aqueous extracts from *C. fistula.* [Each value is expressed as mean \pm SE (n=3)].

µg/ml for bark extract to 485.25 µg/ml for fruit pulp extract (Table 2). The blue-green chromogen known as ABTS radical cation (ABTS+), which has a maximum absorption at 734 nm, is created when ABTS combines with potassium persulfate. One important determinant of the sample's antioxidant activity is the degree of decolorization. The ability of extracts to donate hydrogen is what causes the ABTS radical cation to be affected, as seen by the radical cation's color changing from ABTS+ to colorless ABTS. It was discovered that nearly every extract from various C. fistula sections was efficient at scavenging the ABTS radical [17]. The IC50 value served as the basis for determining the antioxidant activity. The concentration needed to inhibit free radicals by 50% is known as the IC50 value. The greater the scavenging potential, the lower the IC50 value. The percentage inhibition of ABTS radicals was concentration-dependent. When the IC50values of C. fistula leaf aqueous extracts, bark, flower, fruit pulp, and standard antioxidant Trolox are compared, the antioxidant activity is found in the following decreasing order: bark > Trolox > Leaf > Flower > Fruit pulp. This indicates that C. fistula bark aqueous extract has a good scavenging capacity for ABTS radicals. Bark extracts were discovered to have antioxidant activity that was even higher than that of Trolox, the typical antioxidant. High-molecular-weight phenolics, or tannins, have been shown in a prior study to be more effective at scavenging free radicals (ABTS+). Their efficacy is primarily dependent on their molecular weight, the number of aromatic rings, and the type of hydroxyl group substitution, rather than on the specific functional groups they replace [27]. The presence of high molecular weight phenolics such as gallic acid, ellagic acid, chebulinic acid, and quercetin may be the cause of the high free radical (ABTS+) scavenging activity of the ethanolic extract of C. fistula bark extract.

Using a DPPH-generated radical, the radical scavenging activity was examined with various sample extracts and contrasted with butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) as the reference. The findings demonstrated that the percentage inhibition of the DPPH radical increased along with the sample concentration (Fig. 3). At a dosage of 1.0 mg/mL, it was found that the *C. fistula* bark extract exhibited greater efficacy than the leaf, flower, and fruit pulp extracts. Aqueous bark extract exhibited 68.9 \pm 0.83% scavenging activity, whereas extracts from leaves, flowers, and fruits demonstrated 67.19 \pm 0.40%, 39.04 \pm 0.18%, and 29.08 \pm 0.21% scavenging activity, respectively. The IC50 values for fruit pulp extract were 2004.23 µg/ml

and for bark extract was 318.77 µg/ml (Table 2). A sensitive approach for assessing the antioxidant activity of plant extracts is the DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl) stable free radical method [28]. When DPPH is combined with free electrons or hydrogen radicals, a stable free radical and stable diamagnetic molecule are produced [29]. The order of antioxidant activity is BHT>Bark>Leaf>Fruit pulp when comparing the IC50 values of aqueous extracts of C. fistula leaves, bark, flower, and fruit pulp with the standard antioxidant BHT. It was found that while bark extracts' radical-scavenging activity was higher than that of standard antioxidants, it was still lower than that of other extracts. [23]. A combination of several scavenging chemicals makes up extracts, and these compounds may work in concert to boost the antiradical action. Because of the extract's ability to donate hydrogen, which is observed as a shift in color from purple to yellow, it is thought to have an impact on DPPH radical scavenging [30]. In diverse ways, every plant extract examined suppressed the DPPH radical. This outcome demonstrated the extracts' ability to donate hydrogen or electrons, which might then react with the DPPH radical. The portion of the plant that was utilized for the study affected the difference in scavenging activity amongst the extracts. This discrepancy might be explained by the different portions of the plant having differing amounts of antioxidant chemicals, such as flavonoids and polyphenols. Increased DPPH radical scavenging activity was seen in the current study at higher plant extract concentrations. This finding may point to a greater capacity to donate hydrogen ions, resulting in a lighter solution that is proportionate to the amount of electrons obtained. [31, 32]. Therefore, due to their capacity to donate hydrogen ions, it is possible to hypothesize that the fruit pulp, leaves, bark, and flowers of C. fistula exhibit DPPH-scavenging activity by reducing the DPPH radical to equivalent hydrazine. An earlier study found that C. fistula bark extracts had higher total phenolic content and DPPH scavenging action than stem, leaf, and root extracts, which is consistent with the current findings [23]. Our findings, however, conflict with those of a previous study that found fruits to have stronger antioxidant activity than other sections [33].

Figs. 4 and Fig. 5 show the superoxide radical scavenging activity of *C. fistula* leaf, bark, flower, and fruit pulp extracts in comparison to the standard antioxidant ascorbic acid. At a concentration of 1.0 mg/ml, it was shown that the bark of *C. fistula* had

Extract	Dose	Diameter of	Zone of
	(Concentration)	inhibition (mm)	
	(mg/ml)	E. coli	S. aureus
Leaf	12.5 (mg/ml)	8.00 ± 0.00	8.0±0.58
	25 (mg/ml)	8.67±0.33	8.67±0.33
	50 (mg /ml)	9.00±0.58	8.67±0.33
	100 (mg /ml)	10.33±0.3	11.0±0.58
Bark	12.5 (mg/ml)	10.33±0.33	10.0±0.58
	25 (mg /ml)	12.0±0.58	11.33±0.33
	50 (mg /ml)	12.67±0.33	13.0±0.58
	100 (mg /ml)	16.0±0.58	14.33±0.33
Flower	12.5 (mg/ml)	7.33±0.33	7.67±0.33
	25 (mg/ml)	8.33±0.33	8.33±0.33
	50 (mg /ml)	10.0±0.58	11.33±0.33
	100 (mg /ml)	12.0±0.58	13.5±0.65
Fruit Pulp	12.5 (mg/ml)	8.33±0.33	7.67±0.33
	25 (mg/ml)	8.0±0.00	8.33±0.33
	50 (mg /ml)	9.33±0.33	11.33±0.33
	100 (mg /ml)	11.5±0.65	13.5±0.65
Ampicillin	100 (µg /ml)	25.00±0.58	23.0±0.58
Negative control			

Table 3. Antibacterial activity of Cassia fistula aqueousextracts at various concentrations.

Negative	(-ve)	snows	that	the	solvents	usea	could	not
inhibit the	grow	th of sel	lected	lorg	anisms.			

greater activity than extracts of the leaves, flowers, and fruit. Aqueous bark extract had a scavenging activity of 72.90±0.58%, whereas extracts from leaves, flowers, and fruits demonstrated scavenging activities of 51.22±0.40%, 47.45±0.63%, and 49.54±0.95%, respectively. For bark extract, the IC50 values were 478.31 µg/ml and for fruit pulp extract, they were 957.39 µg/ml. (Table 2). Superoxide anion radicals (O2-) are produced by active phagocytes, including neutrophils, eosinophils, monocytes, and macrophages [29]. The generation of superoxide anion is a crucial step in the phagocytes' ability to kill germs. Superoxide anion radicals were created in the PMS-NADH (Phenazine methosulfate-nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide) system by oxidizing NADH, and they were quantified by reducing NBT (Nitro blue tetrazolium). Reduced absorbance at 560 nm due to antioxidants indicates that superoxide anion consumption has taken place in the reaction mixture. [34]. Ascorbic acid is the standard antioxidant, while C. fistula preparations have a decreasing order of

Table 4. Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)) and
Minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of aqu	ieous
extracts of C. fistula.	

Extracts	E. coli		S. aureus	S. aureus	
(Aqueous)	MIC (mg/ml)	MBC (mg/ml)	MIC (mg/ml)	MBC (mg/ml)	
Leaf	25	>100	25	>100	
Bark	3.125	>100	6.25	50	
Flower	25	>100	25	>100	
Fruit Pulp	25	>100	25	>100	



Fig. 5. Superoxide radical scavenging activity at different concentrations of ascorbic acid.

antioxidant activity: ascorbic acid > Bark > Leaf > Flower > Fruit pulp. Ascorbic acid's IC50 value was lower than that of *C. fistula* extracts, indicating that it is a more effective superoxide radical scavenger than *C. fistula*. The *C. fistula* bark extract exhibits the best scavenging activity of all the extracts. The greater polyphenolic content in the bark extract of *C. fistula* may be the cause of its improved superoxide radical scavenging action.

Antibacterial activity

Table 3 displays the findings for the antibacterial activity of various *C. fistula* extracts against grampositive *S. aureus* (MTCC 7443) and gram-negative *E. coli* (MTCC 2991). Aqueous bark extract against *S. aureus* (MTCC 7443) showed the highest average inhibition zone (14.33 \pm 0.33 mm) at 100 mg/ml, whereas leaf extract showed the lowest inhibition zone (11.0 \pm 0.58 mm). Aqueous bark extract had the highest average inhibition zone (16.0 \pm 0.58) against *E. coli* (MTCC 2991) at the same concentration, while leaf

extract had the lowest inhibition zone (10.33 ± 0.3) . It was discovered that the extracts' antibacterial activity was dose-dependent. DMSO, the negative control, had no discernible effect on the growth of any of the tested bacteria. The inhibitory activity of each extract varied when it came to the tested bacterial strains. When compared to other extracts, bark extracts generally exhibited more antibacterial activity. Our results are consistent with earlier studies that used the agar disc diffusion method to find the significant antibacterial activity of the hydroalcoholic extract (8:2) of C. fistula leaves against Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa [35]. Significant activity against S. aureus but not against E. coli was discovered in one of the tests. [36], whereas in our study, C. fistula stem bark showed activity against both E. coli and S. aureus. Antimicrobial activity of methanolic and ethanolic extracts of C. fistula flowers on Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, S. epidermidis, Salmonella Typhi, Klebsiella pneumonia, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Proteus mirabilis was also seen in an earlier study [37]. Crude hydroalcoholic and chloroform extracts of C. fistula fruit pulp were reported to have moderate to strong activity against S. aureus and E. coli in one of the investigations. [38].

Table 4 displays the results of the MIC and MBC tests conducted on several C. fistula extracts against E. coli (MTCC 2991) and S. aureus (MTCC 7443). The MIC values of C. fistula against E. coli ranged from 3.125 mg/mL to 25 mg/mL for different sections of the plant. The MIC values of C. fistula against S. aureus ranged from 6.25 mg/mL to 25 mg/mL for different sections of the plant. The aqueous extracts of the leaves, bark, flowers, and fruit pulp of the C. fistula plant were examined using the broth dilution method to determine their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) in order to assess their bacteriostatic and bactericidal capabilities. The absence of bacterial growth in the tested strains streaked from the inhibitory zone corresponding to their lowest MIC served as confirmation of the MBC. The highest effective bark extract of C. fistula was 3.125 mg/mL against E. coli (MTCC 2991) and 6.25 mg/mL against S. aureus (MTCC 7443). Every extract had an MBC value of more than 100 mg/mL. The bark extract exhibited a 50 mg/mL MBC against S. aureus. Our results corroborate those of a previous study that determined the C. fistula extract was efficient against B. cereus, S. aureus, S. epidermidis, E. coli, and K. pneumoniae. It was shown that *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* were the most vulnerable bacteria to methanolic and ethanolic extracts. The bacteria that were least sensitive to ethanolic and methanolic extracts, respectively, were *B. cereus* and *S. aureus*. In contrast, the ethanolic extract's MBC and MIC values against *E. coli* were determined to be 5 mg/mL and 40 mg/mL, respectively, and 40 mg/mL against *S. aureus*. The variations in membrane composition and structure between the two groups may be the cause of the sensitivity discrepancies [39]. The MIC values of various *C. fistula* parts against *E. coli* ranged from 0.78 to 1.56 mg/mL in our previous research on ethanolic extracts of the plant, while the MIC values of various *C. fistula* parts against *S. aureus* were 6.25 mg/mL [40].

CONCLUSION

The investigations' findings demonstrated that *C. fistula* aqueous bark extracts had higher antioxidant activity, which is correlated with their total phenolic content. In comparison to the pulp of the leaves, flowers, and fruits of *C. fistula*, bark extracts exhibited the strongest antibacterial efficacy against both grampositive and gram-negative pathogens. Because *C. fistula* bark has a high concentration of phenolic compounds, it can be utilized as a natural source of antioxidants in pharmaceutical preparations. To clarify the mechanisms underlying antioxidant and antibacterial properties, more research concentrating on the isolation and characterization of bioactive chemicals is required.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Dean, Post Graduate studies, College of Veterinary and Animal Science GADVASU, India, for providing the facilities to carry out the research work.

REFERENCES

1. Singh R. Medicinal plants: A review. J Plant Sci. 2015; 3(1):50-55.

2. Sahoo N, Manchikanti P, Dey S. Herbal drugs: standards and regulation. Fitoterapia. 2010; 81(6):462-471.

3. Thakur M, Asrani RK, Thakur S, Sharma PK, Patil RD, *et al.* Observations on traditional usage of ethnomedicinal plants in humans and animals of Kangra and Chamba districts of Himachal Pradesh in North-Western Himalaya, India. J Ethnopharmacol. 2016; 191:280-300.

4. Che CT, George V, Ijinu TP, Pushpangadan P, Andrae-Marobela K. Traditional medicine. 2017. In: Badal S, Delgoda R, (eds.). Pharmacognosy. Boston: Academic Press. 15-30. 5. Wachtel-Galor S, Yuen J, Buswell JA, Benzie IF. *Ganoderma lucidum* (Lingzhi or Reishi). Herbal Medicine: Biomolecular and Clinical Aspects. 2nd edn. 2011.

6. Khameneh B, Iranshahy M, Soheili V, Bazzaz BS. Review on plant antimicrobials: a mechanistic viewpoint. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2019; 8(1):1-28.

7. WHO. Antimicrobial resistance: Global report on surveillance, Vol. 2014. Geneva.

8. Rana R, Sharma R, Kumar A. Repurposing of existing statin drugs for treatment of microbial infections: how much promising? Infect Disord Drug Targets. 2019; 19(3):224-237.

9. Vandevelde NM, Tulkens PM, Van Bambeke F. Modulating antibiotic activity towards respiratory bacterial pathogens by co-medications: a multi-target approach. Drug Discov Today. 2016; 21(7):1114-1129.

10. Shakeri A, Sharifi MJ, Fazly Bazzaz BS, Emami A, Soheili V, *et al.* Bioautography detection of antimicrobial compounds from the essential oil of *Salvia pachystachys*. Curr Bioact Compd. 2018; 14(1):80-85.

11. Cowan MM. Plant products as antimicrobial agents. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1999; 12(4):564-582.

12. Ajay KK, Satish S, Ibrahhim S, Karunakara H. Therapeutic uses of *Cassia fistula*: Review. Int J Pharm Chem. 2017; 3(1):38-42.

13. Rizvi MM, El Hassadi IM, Younis SB. Bioefficacies of *Cassia fistula*: an Indian labrum. Afr J Pharm Pharmacol. 2009; 3(6):287-292.

14. Chaves N, Santiago A, Alías JC. Quantification of the antioxidant activity of plant extracts: analysis of sensitivity and hierarchization based on the method used. Antioxidants (Basel). 2020; 9(1):76.

15. Hamid I, Janbaz KH. Ethnopharmacological basis for antispasmodic, antidiarrheal and antiemetic activities of *Ceratonia siliqua* pods. Bangladesh J Pharmacol. 2017; 12(4):384-392.

16. Gulcin I, Oktay M, Kufrevioglu Ö, Aslan A. Determination of antioxidant activity of lichen *Cetraria islandica* (L) Ach. J Ethnopharmacol. 2002; 79(3):325-329.

17. Re R, Pellegrini N, Proteggente A, Pannala A, Yang M, Rice-Evans C. Antioxidant activity applying an improved ABTS radical cation decolorization assay. Free Radic Biol Med. 1999; 26(9-10):1231-1237.

18. Hsu B, Coupar IM, Ng K. Antioxidant activity of hot water extract from the fruit of the Doum palm, *Hyphaene thebaica*. Food Chem. 2006; 98(2):317-328.

19. Gülçin Ì, Sat IG, Beydemir S, Elmastas M, Küfrevioglu OI. Comparison of antioxidant activity of clove (*Eugenia caryophylata* Thunb) buds and lavender (*Lavandula stoechas* L.). Food Chem. 2004; 87(3):393-400.

20. Ibeh LN, Idu M, Obasuyi O. Studies on the antimicrobial properties of *Lepistemon owariense* (Ewe Amunu Tutu) leaf. J Med Lab Sci. 2002; 2: 40-45.

21. Collins CH, Lynes PM, Grange JM. Microbiological Methods. (7th edn.) 1976, Butterwort.

22. Sidhu PK, Landoni MF, Aliabadi FS, Lees P. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modelling of marbofloxacin administered alone and in combination with tolfenamic acid in goats. Vet J. 2010; 184(2):219-229.

23. Siddhuraju P, Becker K. Antioxidant properties of various solvent extracts of total phenolic constituents from three different agroclimatic origins of drumstick tree (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.) leaves. J Agric Food Chem. 2003; 51(8):2144-2155.

24.Tzekiat LA, Chiang LK. Total phenolics, total tannins and antioxidant activity of *Cassia fistula* L. extracts of bark, stem, leaf and root under different age classes. Asian J Pharm Res. 2013; 5(2):52-57.

25. Halliwell B. Antioxidants in human health and disease. Annu Rev Nutr. 1996;16(1):33-50.

26. Kahkonen MP, Hopia AI, Vuorela HJ, Rauha JP, Pihlaja K, *et al.* Antioxidant activity of plant extracts containing phenolic compounds. J Agric Food Chem. 1999; 47(10):3954-3962.

27. Hagerman AE, Riedl KM, Jones GA, Sovik KN, Ritchard NT, *et al.* High molecular weight plant polyphenolics (tannins) as biological antioxidants. J Agric Food Chem.1998; 46(5):1887-1892.

28. Sylvie DD, Anatole PC, Cabral BP, Veronique PB. Comparison of *in vitro* antioxidant properties of extracts from three plants used for medical purpose in Cameroon: *Acalypha racemosa, Garcinia lucida* and *Hymenocardia lyrata*. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed. 2014; 4:625-632.

29. Soare JR, Dinis TC, Cunha AP, Almeida L. Antioxidant activities of some extracts of *Thymus zygis*. Free Radic Res. 1997; 26(5):469-478.

30. Kumar KS, Ganesan K, Rao PS. Antioxidant potential of solvent extracts of *Kappaphycus alvarezii* (Doty) Doty - An edible seaweed. Food Chem. 2008; 107(1):289-295.

31. Silva GN, Martins FR, Matheus ME, Leitão SG, Fernandes PD. Investigation of anti-inflammatory and antinociceptive activities of *Lantana trifolia*. J Ethnopharmacol. 2005; 100(3):254-259.

Comparative analysis of antioxidant and antibacterial activity of aqueous extract

32.Villaño D, Fernández-Pachón MS, Moyá ML, Troncoso AM, García-Parrilla MC. Radical scavenging ability of polyphenolic compounds towards DPPH free radical. Talanta. 2007; 71(1):230-235.

33. Luximon-Ramma A, Bahorun T, Soobrattee MA, Aruoma OI. Antioxidant activities of phenolic, proanthocyanidin, and flavonoid components in extracts of *Cassia fistula*. J Agric Food Chem. 2002; 50(18):5042-5047.

34. Oktay M, Gülçin I, Küfrevioglu OI. Determination of *in vitro* antioxidant activity of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*) seed extracts. LWT - Food Sci Technol. 2003; 36(2):263-271.

35. Bhalodia NR, Shukla VJ. Antibacterial and antifungal activities from leaf extracts of *Cassia fistula* L.: An ethnomedicinal plant. J Adv Pharm Technol Res. 2011; 2(2):104.

36. Vimalraj TR, Kumar SS, Vadivel S, Ramesh S, Thejomoorthy P. Antibacterial effect of *Cassia fistula* extract on pathogenic bacteria of veterinary importance. Tamilnadu J Vet Anim Sci. 2009; 5(3):109-113..

37. Seyyednejad SM, Motamedi H, Vafei M, Bakhtiari A. The antibacterial activity of *Cassia fistula* organic extracts. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2014; 7(1):e8921.

38. Bhalodia NR, Nariya PB, Acharya RN, Shukla VJ. *In vitro* antibacterial and antifungal activities of *Cassia fistula* Linn. fruit pulp extracts. Ayu. 2012; 33(1):123-129.

39. Lambert PA. Cellular impermeability and uptake of biocides and antibiotics in Gram-positive bacteria and mycobacteria. J Appl Microbiol. 2002; 92:46S-54S.

40. Sharma DK, Sharma SK, Saini SP, Bhardwaj E. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of ethanolic extracts of *Cassia fistula*. J Vet Pharmacol Ther. 2021; 20(2):29-32.

Cite this article as: Sharma DK, Sharma SK, Singh Saini SPS, Bhardwaj E, Sharma A. Comparative analysis of antioxidant and antibacterial activity of aqueous extract of leaf, bark, flower, and fruit pulp of *Cassia fistula*. Explor Anim Med Res. 2024; 14(Superbug Spl.), DOI:10.52635/eamr/14(S2)86-95.